

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,771	,771 07/14/2003		Chiang Pu	42P16565	8770
59796	7590	09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
INTEL CO			MAI, TAN V		
c/o INTELL P.O. BOX 52	•	LC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				2193	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,771	PU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tan V. Mai	2193
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because abstract should include the technical disclosure of the invention as claimed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nelson.

Nelson, e.g., see Figs. 3, 5-8, teaches the claimed combination elements. Fig. 5 shows "bias current source" (10), multipliers (65-68), summer (90) and shifter (75) [for providing the claimed "multi bit control signal"]. Fig. 8A shows the detail of multiplier (65) which receives the current sources (400).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 9 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson.

Nelson has been discussed in the previous paragraph.

As per dependent claims 4 and 9, the claim adds the "current-to-voltage converter to convert current from the summer into a voltage". The feature is old and well known in the audio art. ). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the converter in Nelson, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device is an analog filter having the converter feature as claimed.

As per claims 12-17, the claim adds the "digital signal source" and "analog signal receiver". These features are old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Nelson's analog filter in a system "digital signal source" and "analog signal receiver" as claimed.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,771 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner